

Appl. No. 10/711,410  
Amdt. dated February 23, 2006  
Reply to Office action of November 30, 2005

### ARGUMENTS

1. Claims 1-10, and 13 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Hagberg (U.S. Patent No. 5,948,046).

5

Response:

Applicant asserts that Claims 1-10, and 13 should not be found anticipated by Hagberg (U.S. Patent No. 5,948,046) because the teachings of Hagberg cannot "synchronously reset at least a part of the plurality of cells." Examiner thought that the step of synchronously resetting in Claim 1 (i.e., step c) of the present invention is done by signal *i\_sw* of Hagberg. However, the signals *i\_sw* disclosed by Hagberg are not synchronized with each other. Specifically, there are multiple signals *i\_sw<sub>x</sub>* in the frequency divider disclosed by Hagberg (see Fig. 3), wherein these signals *i\_sw<sub>x</sub>* have different active periods. This can be found in the timing diagrams shown in Figs. 7-13 of Hagberg's disclosure.

In addition, Hagberg discloses (col. 5, lines 8-11), "When configured in series with other 2/3-divider blocks 300, the *i\_sw* signal 401a is generally supplied by a more significant neighboring 2/3-divider block 300." More detail of the divider blocks 300 and 301 of Hagberg are shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, respectively. Due to the nature of circuitry, there is always latency between the signals *i\_sw<sub>x</sub>* and *i\_sw<sub>x+1</sub>*. In other words, the rising edges of the signals *i\_sw<sub>x</sub>* and *i\_sw<sub>x+1</sub>* do not occur at the same time, meaning that the signals *i\_sw<sub>x</sub>* and *i\_sw<sub>x+1</sub>* are not synchronized. Since all the signals *i\_sw<sub>x</sub>* have different active periods, it is impossible to realize

Appl. No. 10/711,410  
Amdt. dated February 23, 2006  
Reply to Office action of November 30, 2005

the step of synchronously resetting by signals  $i\_sw_x$  as stated in Claim 1 of the present invention. For the reasons explained above, the applicant kindly requests that the Examiner reconsider the rejection placed on claim 1 of the present application.

5

Claims 2-10 and 13 are dependent on claim 1, and should be allowed if the independent Claim 1 is found allowable.

## 2. Allowable Subject Matter


10

Applicant is grateful for the Examiner's allowance as to claims 14-50.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

15

Sincerely yours,



Date: 02.23.2006

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

20 

P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562

Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail : winstonhsu@naipo.com

25 

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 13 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 10 PM in Taiwan.)